

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

ROBERT BLUE,)	
)	3:11-cv-00010-LRH-VPC
Plaintiff,)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
vs.)	
)	
HOWARD SKOLIK, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Before the court is Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate Judge Order Denying Plaintiff Motion for Order Directing Defendant to Respond to Interrogatories and/or Extend Discovery (Doc #93 filed 01/01/15/13) (#98¹), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate's Order #93. Defendants filed their Response to Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate Judge's Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Order Directing Defendant to Respond to Interrogatories and/or Extend Discovery (#103) on February 5, 2013.

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's motion, Defendants' response, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

///

///

///

¹Refers to this court's docket number.

1 The Magistrate Judge's Order (#93) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion
2 (#98) is denied.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED this 11th day of April, 2013.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "L. Hicks", is positioned above the printed name of the judge.

7
8 LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28